

Romania - ROSA CA presentation

Small adjustments need to be done to the CP/CPS document and sent to the two reviewers. The accreditation will be done over the e-mail as soon both reviewers agree.

--

Marocco - MaGrid CA presentation

Small adjustments need to be done to the CP/CPS document and sent to the two reviewers. The accreditation will be done over the e-mail as soon both reviewers agree.

--

Milan Sova

Server Certificate Service - Approval for GridServer profile draft.

He asked the present member for comments on namespace, extensions, SubjectAltName and Certificate Policies OID. There were no comments.

OCSP responder software and system will be tested in July. There was a discussion about what state is the middleware? The OpenSSL v0.9.8 has support for OCSP. There were no further questions about OCSP.

--

Yoshio - POCS draft

He asked for comments on identity vetting rules. There were no comments.

He asked for comments on audit: the suggestion was to use 4 levels of assurance: Rudimentary, Basic, Medium, High. The point is that authentication should be simple. It was agreed to present the 4 levels of assurance to relying parties and ask them if they want any of them. Test on different portals and see which levels are the best.

--

Marg Murray - MICS profile status

Discussion: do we object to "may" definition? We do not. Maybe we should define the exact meaning of "may". For instance, may means: you can implement it, but if you don't, you must explain why you didn't.

Temper-protected log should be on the best-effort basis. Define how is best-effort conducted.

IGTF AP MICS document:

The part where security for private key protection is mentioned. The sentence should be: MICS CA should have HSM 140 level 3 device or equivalent. If equivalent is used, the MICS CA should address the PMA to decide if the equivalent is suitable.

The whole document should be read, and if there are no further comments within week, apart from one from above, the document is accepted. David will post it to the meeting agenda, Jens volunteered to review the document.

--

Make a list of CA's who have not presented themselves for a looong time or known not to be compliance with their CP/CPS. Minimal requirements and keyUsage extension should be tested. Warning and a deadline for correction of problems should be given.

If the CA haven't showed up, self-audited, presented their operation or CP/CPS for 2 years, they must do so at the next meeting or they are out of the distrubution.

If the CA dodn't do a self-audit for 2 years, external audit must be done.

Send a mail to everyone not attending the meeting which explains these rules.

Insert Mike's operational spreadsheet into Yoshio's audit document, and make these two into an audit document.

Decide on the way self audit is presented to the group.

If the minimal requirements change, or a CA does not meet the minimal requirements, they have 6 months to correct.

--

David Groep - Hardware Tokens

It is mentioned that the token manufacturer must be certified or in a process of certification. It is not said what happens if the manufacturer fails the certification.

Insert: "tokens should not fail FIPS 140-2 at level 2 or higher certification"

--

Jens - Robots

Do the minimal requirements need to be updated for robots?

Do some types of robots need to have owners names while other do not?

Certificate Profile need to be updated for different types of robots..

--

David Groep - DutchGrid CA update

There were no comments.

Milan Sova asked if object signing bit should be left for user certificates.

--

Ukraine CA

All changes should be incorporated into the CP/CPS and reviewers should examine it again. Another presentation is needed with incorporated changes on the next meeting.

--

Next PMA meeting: Thessaloniki, september 19th - 21st .

--

12th PMA meeting: Amsterdam, January 14th - 16th.

--

Classic profile, minimum requirements.

Minimum requirements document will be used when audit or self-audit is being done. It is agreed that grades A - D will be used for every section of minimal requirements document that is being tested, depending on level of non-compliance and urgency of correction.

David O'Callaghan has the notes about grades.

--

Bob - Relying parties, possibilities for harmonization?

Volunteers to do the mapping of these documents against minimal requirements for the next meeting: Jens and David O'Callaghan have volunteered.